

United States Department of the Interior
Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service

National Register of Historic Places
Inventory—Nomination Form

For HCRS use only
received 9/13/84
date entered

See instructions in *How to Complete National Register Forms*
Type all entries—complete applicable sections

1. Name

historic Great Basin Style Rock Art Thematic Resources

and/or common

2. Location

street & number various - see individual structure/site forms not for publication

city, town vicinity of congressional district 02, 01

state Utah code 049 county Beaver, Millard, Sevier code 001, 027, 041

3. Classification

Category	Ownership	Status	Present Use
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> district	<input type="checkbox"/> public	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> occupied	<input type="checkbox"/> agriculture
<input type="checkbox"/> building(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> private	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> unoccupied	<input type="checkbox"/> commercial
<input type="checkbox"/> structure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> both	<input type="checkbox"/> work in progress	<input type="checkbox"/> educational
<input type="checkbox"/> site	Public Acquisition	Accessible	<input type="checkbox"/> entertainment
<input type="checkbox"/> object	<input type="checkbox"/> in process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes: restricted	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> government
	<input type="checkbox"/> being considered	<input type="checkbox"/> yes: unrestricted	<input type="checkbox"/> industrial
		<input type="checkbox"/> no	<input type="checkbox"/> military
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> other: grazing

4. Owner of Property

name Multiple Ownership - see individual structure/site forms

street & number

city, town vicinity of state

5. Location of Legal Description

courthouse, registry of deeds, etc. County Courthouses, Sevier and Millard Counties
Bureau of Land Management, Utah State Office

street & number 136 South Temple

city, town Salt Lake City state Utah

6. Representation in Existing Surveys

title None has this property been determined eligible? yes no

date federal state county local

depository for survey records

city, town state

7. Description

Condition

excellent
 good
 fair

deteriorated
 ruins
 unexposed

Check one

unaltered
 altered

Check one

original site
 moved date _____

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

The Great Basin is a large area of land, covering all or parts of Nevada, Utah, and California. Throughout prehistory, Great Basin cultural adaptation was characterized by a fairly mobile, hunting/gathering lifeway, although the degree of mobility or sedentism varied through time and space, according to the availability of resources. Among the distinctive items of material culture left by the prehistoric inhabitants of the Great Basin is a peculiar style of petroglyphic rock art. This style is essentially confined to the Basin, from which its name derives.

The Great Basin Style Rock Art District is a thematic nomination of selected petroglyph sites in Utah. These sites are representative of the Great Basin Style rock art found in the state. They were chosen after an intensive search of the published literature and the recorded site files. It is felt that they are the best examples of the Great Basin Style. Other sites were considered and visited, but were rejected because they were very small or very weathered, or they were not good examples of this particular style. Still other sites, although recorded, could not be relocated. In addition, other sites no doubt exist in Utah, but because they occur in isolated areas, they have not yet been recorded.

The sites listed here are eligible individually for the National Register, but by nominating them in a thematic nomination, we hope to signal the importance of the Great Basin Style as a whole to current research problems.

Great Basin Style rock art is primarily a petroglyph style, originally defined by Julian Steward (1929) and described in detail by Heizer and Baumhoff (1962). Heizer and Baumhoff identified three major and two minor styles within the overall classification. The three major styles are Great Basin Pecked, Great Basin Painted, and Great Basin Scratched. Only Great Basin Pecked has been noted in any quantity in Utah. [A fourth style, "Pit-and-Groove," is thought to be the oldest form of rock art in the Great Basin (Heizer and Baumhoff 1962:208). Consisting merely of crudely pecked pits or carved grooves, this "style" is often mistaken for natural erosion (and vice versa). Only one possible pit-and-groove example is known in Utah.]

Within the Great Basin Pecked Style are two minor styles, Great Basin Representational and Abstract. Representational elements, as the name implies, are anthropomorphs, mountain sheep and other quadrupeds, snakes, lizards, etc. The Abstract forms are further divided into two substyles: Rectilinear and Curvilinear. Rectilinear motifs are squares, rectangles, dots, zig-zags, and any other which involves a straight line. Curvilinear designs are the most distinctive and common of all the Great Basin styles. They are also very well defined (Baumhoff, Heizer and Elsasser 1958) as follows:

The circle, in one context or another, is the common element of this style but perhaps a more characteristic element is the curvilinear meander. These meanders

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
HERITAGE CONSERVATION AND RECREATION SERVICE

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM

FOR HCRS USE ONLY

RECEIVED 9/13/81

DATE ENTERED

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER

7

PAGE

2

have a vague sort of composition in that they tend to fill an area defined by the outline of a single boulder. But aside from two restrictions -- curving lines without abrupt discontinuities and spatial restrictions provided by the areas of a single boulder face -- there seems to be no aesthetic discipline imposed on the style. The lack of discipline is no doubt attributable to the nature of the materials. Petrography is essentially a decorative art -- an attempt to embellish an object without reshaping it. But the objects that are decorated, in this case the boulders, are not themselves made by man and therefore they do not possess any degree of uniformity to provide a consistent set of restrictions within which the art might develop. The shapes of the boulders are endlessly and randomly varied so that no uniform set of artistic principles can be applied to their decoration.

Heizer and Baumhoff feel that the Abstract style is older than the Representational, and that Curvilinear is the older of the two Abstract styles. However, in no instance does one style replace the other; the Representational designs in many cases appear to be as old as the associated Curvilinear forms. That is, there is the same amount of patination on each (a relative and hardly definitive form of dating). Where such determinations can be made, however, Curvilinear designs are consistently older. The Curvilinear Style has been tentatively dated by Heizer and Baumhoff to 3500-500 B.P. and is apparently associated with the Late Archaic desert cultures.

The individual sites along with their boundaries are described in detail on the individual structure/site forms. Few of the sites were recorded as a result of systematic survey, but were usually reported by amateurs and recorded in the archeological literature by a variety of rock art specialists. The Great Basin Style rock art sites in Utah have been noted by Mallery (1893), Steward (1929), Schaafsmat (1970, 1971), and Castleton (1980).

Because Great Basin Style rock art sites are usually unimpressive (no bright painting, no larger-than-life figures, and often heavy patination or weathering) and are located in unpopulated areas of the state, they are essentially free of vandalism. These sites are in excellent condition.

**United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service**

**National Register of Historic Places
Inventory—Nomination Form**

Great Basin Rock Art Thematic Resources

Continuation sheet

Item number 7

Page 3

For NPS use only

received

date entered

The twelve sites which are included in the Great Basin Rock Art Thematic Resources nomination are listed below.

Beaver County

- 42 Be 91 (Mud Spring Petroglyphs)
- 42 Be 618 (Ryan Ranch Petroglyphs)

Millard County

- 42 Md 43 (Black Rock Station Petroglyph Group)
- 42 Md 47 (Black Rock Station Petroglyph Group)
- 42 Md 53 (Mountain Home Wash Petroglyphs)
- 42 Md 55 (Deseret Petroglyph Panel)
- 42 Md 183 (Cottonwood Wash Petroglyphs)
- 42 Md 284
- 42 Md 485 (Black Rock Station Petroglyph Group)
- 42 Md 593 (Black Rock Station Petroglyph Group)
- 42 Md 845 (Black Rock Station Petroglyph Group)

Sevier County

- 42 Sv 1377 (Glenwood Petroglyphs)

8. Significance

Period	Areas of Significance—Check and justify below			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> prehistoric	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> archeology-prehistoric	<input type="checkbox"/> community planning	<input type="checkbox"/> landscape architecture	<input type="checkbox"/> religion
<input type="checkbox"/> 1400-1499	<input type="checkbox"/> archeology-historic	<input type="checkbox"/> conservation	<input type="checkbox"/> law	<input type="checkbox"/> science
<input type="checkbox"/> 1500-1599	<input type="checkbox"/> agriculture	<input type="checkbox"/> economics	<input type="checkbox"/> literature	<input type="checkbox"/> sculpture
<input type="checkbox"/> 1600-1699	<input type="checkbox"/> architecture	<input type="checkbox"/> education	<input type="checkbox"/> military	<input type="checkbox"/> social/
<input type="checkbox"/> 1700-1799	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> art	<input type="checkbox"/> engineering	<input type="checkbox"/> music	<input type="checkbox"/> humanitarian
<input type="checkbox"/> 1800-1899	<input type="checkbox"/> commerce	<input type="checkbox"/> exploration/settlement	<input type="checkbox"/> philosophy	<input type="checkbox"/> theater
<input type="checkbox"/> 1900-	<input type="checkbox"/> communications	<input type="checkbox"/> industry	<input type="checkbox"/> politics/government	<input type="checkbox"/> transportation
		<input type="checkbox"/> invention		<input type="checkbox"/> other (specify)

Specific dates Prehistoric Builder/Architect N/A

Statement of Significance (in one paragraph)

The significance of the Great Basin Style petroglyph sites lies in their probable antiquity, their excellent condition, and their relevance to current research problems in the Great Basin.

A. Chronology.

Through relative degrees of patination, tenuous association of art styles with excavated sites, and limited examples of superposition, Heizer and Baumhoff (1962) have dated the Curvilinear style to 3500-500 B.P. Rectilinear forms were probably introduced somewhat later, and the Representational style after that. All three styles, however, are assigned to the Desert Archaic cultures, which have been documented in the Great Basin from 8000 B.P. to the time of Numic expansion, ca. 600 B.P. Historic Numic groups (the Goshute, Ute, Paiute and Shoshone) deny making the petroglyphs, except perhaps the Great Basin Scratched which would have been done "for fun".

However, the dating of the Great Basin Pecked styles, although logical, is not absolute. It is likely that sites such as these will provide the material to develop techniques to date patination or weathering. Already obsidian hydration can date obsidian artifacts by the amount of material accumulated on them; it seems only a matter of time before such techniques are applied to rock art.

B. Design Analysis

One of the major concerns of rock art research has always been to determine what they mean. Garrick Mallery, in 1893, made an explicit attempt to understand the "picture-writing" of North American Indians. He felt, at first, that the various designs were symbolic, akin to Egyptian hieroglyphs, and that their individual meanings could be interpreted. Mallery therefore focused his study on the individual elements of the panels. In the end, however, he concluded (1893:768) that "no attempt should be made at symbolic interpretation unless the symbolic nature of the particular characters under examination is known or can be logically inferred from independent facts." No authority since has attempted to interpret the literal meaning of the rock art.

Since Mallery's work, description and the enumeration of various motifs have been emphasized in rock art studies; attempts at interpretation or explanation are few. In some cases, however, design element analysis has led to ideological interpretations. Davis (1961), for example, suggests that the Great Basin Curvilinear "horseshoe" motif, which is allegedly vulva-like, is analogous to designs used by ethnographic California Indians during girls'

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service
National Register of Historic Places
Inventory—Nomination Form

For NPS use only
received 9/13/84
date entered

Continuation sheet

Item number 8

Page 4

aspects of the cultures involved. "Whether the observed influences of the Great Basin Curvilinear Style and the Fremont rock art on each other were due to simple diffusion between adjacent but differentiated cultural groups, or in fact, to a gradual adoption by hunting and gathering groups in western Utah of horticulture, pottery and associated traits of Fremont culture, is a problem yet to be clarified." The relationship of the rock art styles is relevant to the major problem of cultural continuity and change in the eastern Great Basin.

E. The Thematic Resources

Although each of the sites described below is unique and relevant in its own way to each of the research problems, their nomination as a thematic resource draws attention to the potential of Great Basin Styles generally in solving these problems. The thematic resource group, as opposed to individual nominations, emphasizes this potential more clearly.

It is not my purpose here to explore these research questions in detail in the individual site descriptions. I have not itemized the motifs nor analyzed their possible functions. Already hundreds of designs have been noted at the sites listed here, and each return visit reveals new ones. Even a detailed list of the individual motifs at each site is beyond the limits of time and personnel. However, a brief statement in the individual site descriptions indicates which of these or other research problems the site data may address.

9. Major Bibliographical References

See Continuation Sheet

10. Geographical Data See individual structure/site forms

Acreage of nominated property _____

Quadrangle name _____

Quadrangle scale _____

UMT References

A	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
	Zone	Easting	Northing

B	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
	Zone	Easting	Northing

C	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
---	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

D	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
---	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

E	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
---	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

F	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
---	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

G	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
---	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

H	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
---	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

Verbal boundary description and justification

See individual site summaries

List all states and counties for properties overlapping state or county boundaries

state	N/A	code	county	N/A	code
-------	-----	------	--------	-----	------

state	N/A	code	county	N/A	code
-------	-----	------	--------	-----	------

11. Form Prepared By

name/title Dorothy Sammons-Lohse, Archeologist

organization Utah State Historical Society date August 1981

street & number 300 Rio Grande telephone 801-533-6017

city or town Salt Lake City state Utah 84101

12. State Historic Preservation Officer Certification

The evaluated significance of this property within the state is:

national state local

As the designated State Historic Preservation Officer for the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-665), I hereby nominate this property for inclusion in the National Register and certify that it has been evaluated according to the criteria and procedures set forth by the Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service.

State Historic Preservation Officer signature Melvin T. Smith

title Melvin T. Smith, State Historic Preservation Officer date 5-11-82

For HCRS use only I hereby certify that this property is included in the National Register	date
Keeper of the National Register	date
Attest:	date
Chief of Registration	

FOR HCRS USE ONLY

RECEIVED

9/13/84

DATE ENTERED

**NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
INVENTORY -- NOMINATION FORM**

CONTINUATION SHEET

ITEM NUMBER

9

PAGE

1

- Baumhoff, M.A., R.F. Heizer, and A. Elsasser 1958 Lagomarsino Petroglyph Site, Storey County, Nevada. University of California Archeological Survey Reports 43.
- Castleton, K.C. 1980 Petroglyphs and Pictographs of Utah, Vol. II. Utah Museum of Natural History, Salt Lake City.
- Davis, E.L. 1961 The Mono Crater Petroglyphs, California. American Antiquity 27(2):236-239.
- Heizer, R.F. and M.A. Baumhoff 1962 Prehistoric Rock Art of Nevada and Eastern California. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Mallery, G. 1893 Picture-Writing of the American Indian. Tenth Annual Report of the Bureau of American Ethnology. Reprinted 1972, 2 volumes, Dover Publications, New York.
- Schaafsma, P. 1970 Survey of the Rock Art of Utah. Field notes on file, Archeological Center, Univeristy of Utah.
1971 Rock Art of Utah. Peabody Museum Papers 65.
- Steward, J.H. 1929 Petroglyphs of California and Adjacent States. University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology 24(2).
- Thomas, T. 1976 Petroglyph Distribution and the Hunting Hypothesis in the Central Great Basin, Tebiwa 18(2):65-74.