



*Utah  
Public  
Library  
Service  
2001*

Published by the  
UTAH STATE LIBRARY DIVISION  
Department of Community and Economic Development

Prepared By:  
Sandi Long  
Utah State Library Division

***Utah Public Library Service:  
2001***

***An Annual Report***


Published by the  
UTAH STATE LIBRARY DIVISION  
Department of Community and Economic Development

Published by the  
Department of Community and Economic Development  
David Harmer, Executive Director

Utah State Library Division  
Amy Owen, Director  
250 North 1950 West, Suite A  
Salt Lake City, UT 84116-7901

May 2002

# Public Libraries in Utah



## Utah's Public Libraries

- ▲ Public Library Jurisdictions
- County Bookmobile Library Jurisdictions



Utah  
State  
Library  
Division



# Contents

Map Showing Location of Public Libraries in Utah .....	iii
Contents.....	v
Preface .....	vii
Interpretative Information .....	vii
Changes in Definition or Reporting Procedures .....	vii
Explanation of Some Data Elements .....	vii
Library Anomalies .....	viii
Conclusion.....	viii
Introduction .....	1
Statewide Library Performance Indicators .....	3
Introduction .....	3
Core Performance Measures .....	3
General Tables .....	4
Interpreting Measures .....	4
Core Performance Measures .....	5
Visits Per Capita .....	6
Circulation Per Capita .....	7
Turnover Rate .....	8
Holdings Per Capita .....	9
Expenditures Per Capita .....	10
Local Financial Effort Index .....	11
Table of Index of Local Financial Effort .....	12
General Tables .....	13
Analysis of Basic Library Information .....	14
Public Service Hours Per Week .....	14
Visits Per Hours Open .....	14
Outlets Per 1,000 Population .....	15
Staff Per 1,000 Population .....	15
Staff Per Library Jurisdiction .....	15
Table of Basic Library Information .....	16
Analysis of Library Services .....	18
Comparison of 2000 and 2001 Circulation .....	18
Cost Per Circulation Transaction .....	18
Circulation Load Per 1.0 FTE Staff Member .....	19
Reference Questions Per 1,000 Circulation Transactions .....	19
Table of Library Services .....	20
Analysis of Library Resources .....	22
Comparison of Number of Items Held in 2000 and in 2001 .....	22
Comparison of Number of Subscriptions in 2000 and in 2001 .....	22
Online Databases .....	23
Make-up of the Collection .....	23
Table of Library Resources .....	24

Analysis of Online Access .....	26
Public Access Internet Stations .....	26
Public Access Internet Stations per 1,000 Population .....	26
Use of Library Electronic Resources .....	27
Number of User Sessions of Electronic Resources .....	27
Comparison of Number of User Sessions in 2000 and in 2001 .....	27
Table of Online Access .....	28
Analysis of Library Finances .....	30
Expenditure Categories as a Percentage of Operating Budget .....	30
Local Maintenance of Effort .....	31
Percent Change in Local Maintenance of Effort, 1999-2001 .....	31
Table of Library Finances .....	32
Table of Comparison of 2000 and 2001 Operating Expenditures .....	36
Table of Jurisdictional Maintenance of Effort Comparison .....	38

# Preface

The purpose of this preface is to define certain obscure data elements that are reported in the tables, to delineate changes in data element definitions and reporting procedures over the last few years, and to point out occurrences that would affect individual library statistics for the reporting year.

## Interpretative Information

In using and interpreting the information in this report, it is important to note the following limitations. First, Utah's cities and counties have differing fiscal years. Thus the data published here for cities reflects the July 1, 2000 to June 30, 2001 fiscal year. County data covers the calendar year, January 1 to December 31, 2001. Second, in most cases all items of data are reported exactly as supplied by the responding library. However, obvious errors and discrepancies were discussed with the librarians and were corrected.

## Changes in Definitions or Reporting Procedures

The Index of Financial Effort is an indicator of local governmental support for the library, relative to their financial capacity. As such, the actual index figure is not published, just the range. It has become increasingly difficult to obtain the current fiscal year's assessed valuation of local property in a timely manner, and values generally do not fluctuate drastically. Therefore, the decision was made to use the previous fiscal year's assessed valuation in calculating this indicator. In future years the previous fiscal year's assessed valuation will continue to be used. However, current fiscal year's figures for the local option sales tax and library operating expenditures will be employed.

## Explanation of Some Data Elements

### **Population:**

The population figures in this report are based on the 2000 census estimate from the U.S. Bureau of the Census. The population of a taxing entity is assigned to the library that provides the **primary** library service for taxing entity. "Contract" population may be added to the local population figure. (See below.)

### **"Contract" Population:**

Throughout this report when a library jurisdiction contracts with another local government entity (city or county) to provide library service to that entity's population, the population is credited to the library providing the service throughout this report. (See Local Maintenance of Effort explanation below for an exception.) The population for any jurisdiction does not include non-residents who may receive service but whose local government entity is not paying for that service.

### **Public Service Hours:**

In the past, libraries reported "Duplicated" and "Unduplicated Service Hours" based on a complicated procedure of computation. It was determined that the "duplicated hours" (i.e. regularly posted schedule for all outlets) was the most helpful statistic, and libraries now report their total weekly scheduled hours, as well as a yearly figure that takes into account changes in schedules, holidays and other closures.

### **Local Maintenance of Effort and Local Financial Effort:**

One of the provisions of the Public Library Development Grant agreement is that the local jurisdiction continues to support the library at the same level as in the past. The *Jurisdictional Maintenance of Effort Comparison* table (pages 38-39) reflects the extent to which funds have been maintained. This form of maintenance of effort differs from the *Index of Local Financial Effort* (pages 11-12), which shows how much support jurisdictions provide for library service relative to their financial capacity.

Income that is received by a library jurisdiction from another local government entity (city or county) for providing free library service to the latter's residents is reported separately as "Local Government Contracts." This "contracted" money is reported for the service jurisdiction in all operating income and expenditure figures. However, only the amount actually funded by the local jurisdiction is reported for level of effort (pages 11-12) and maintenance of effort (pages 38-39). Therefore, if a library jurisdiction holds such service contracts, the amount reported for its maintenance of effort will be less than the amount for operating expenditures, and maintenance of effort will be higher than operating expenditures for those jurisdictions contracting for the service. In cases where the contracting jurisdiction has no local library service, the amount will not appear at all on the level of effort or maintenance of effort tables. Populations for those two tables are also adjusted to reflect just the local jurisdictional populations.

## **Library Anomalies**

Each year special circumstances arise in one library or another that may affect their statistics. Those circumstances are listed below. In some cases, the special circumstance may have happened last year and would be detailed in last year's report.

The Snyderville Branch of the Summit County Library closed in April when their lease expired. The new Main Branch at Kimball Junction did not open until November. This left the eastern part of the county with greatly reduced service for approximately seven months.

Provo City Library was closed for a month at the end of their fiscal year, prior to moving into the new library building at Academy Square.

Duchesne County moved the bookmobile office and collection to a new site in Duchesne in July. That facility is now open to the public.

## **Conclusion**

This publication contains only a portion of the data collected by the State Library Division for the 2001 fiscal year. Many of the charts and tables in this report show summary data only. Using the full set of data elements reported to the State Library for FY 2001, comparative data and a variety of performance measures can be calculated to assist local librarians and elected officials in planning for improved library services. Local public librarians can access the full range of data through Bibliostat Connect. All others wishing additional information may contact Sandi Long at the State Library Division for assistance (telephone: 801/715-6741 or 800/662-9150, or e-mail to slong@state.lib.ut.us).



# *Introduction*



# Introduction

## Statewide Library Performance Indicators

### Introduction

This annual report of public library services is part of *The Upgrade Process*. This process is a tool for Utah's public librarians and library trustees to use as they work to improve the quality and effectiveness of public library service. The process has three major components:

Public library service standards;

A flexible planning process for public library trustees and staff; and

An evaluation component that includes the use of performance measures.

*The Upgrade Process* is based on two major premises:

That formal planning for improved library services is the responsibility of local librarians, board members, and elected officials; and

That local planning can be more effective when it is supported in an appropriate statewide context.

This annual report contributes to creating that statewide context by reporting data useful for local library planning. This information is presented in two sections: core performance measures and general tables.

### Core Performance Measures

Because of their potential application to library services planning, and because of their usefulness as general indicators of library service on a statewide basis, six measures have been designated as core performance measures:

Visits Per Capita

Turnover Rate

Expenditures Per Capita

Circulation Per Capita

Holdings Per Capita

Index of Local Financial Effort

Pages 5 to 12 provide statewide summary data for these performance measures. Breakouts are also provided by the populations of the library jurisdictions. For each measure, the text gives a general description and identifies some of the factors affecting the measure.

## General Tables

The general tables, pages 13-39, report basic library information on a library-by-library basis. Seven tables are given: Basic Library Information, Library Services, Library Resources, Online Access, Library Finances, Comparison of Operating Expenditures, and Jurisdictional Maintenance of Effort Comparison. With each table, additional measures are reported in consolidated charts, and some of the general tables provide trend data for selected library activities.

## Interpreting Measures

Although community and individual library circumstances vary, the core measures and general tables can assist Utah's public librarians and trustees as they evaluate library activities and plan improvements in library services. The State Library Division can provide more detailed information to libraries on request. Interested librarians and trustees can obtain their scores on the core performance measures as well as requesting the calculation of additional measures based on their current and past annual reports.

As librarians and trustees use these measures, a number of points should be kept in mind.

***Analyze the library's scores in terms of library mission, goals, and objectives.***

Libraries, even in communities of similar size, have varying funding patterns and community expectations for service. A reference and resource library will show a different pattern of statistics than a popular materials library will.

***Realize that online databases and Internet have changed library use patterns.***

Although statewide and national statistics are being collected and reported on some data elements for this service, individual libraries should be tracking further usage in their own locations.

***Remember that performance measure scores are not absolutes.***

Performance measures are not necessarily precise. Most measures express ratios or are calculated in percentages. Do not be too concerned about "decimal points."

***Use performance measures in context.***

There are no "right" or "wrong" scores for performance measures. One performance measure alone cannot tell a complete story. Performance measure scores should be interpreted in context with each other and with the library's overall service program and budget.

***Exercise patience in working toward improving performance measure scores.***

Changing a library's score on a performance measure is usually not done overnight. Such a change usually requires work over an extended period of time. Suggestions for improving a library's score on selected performance measures can be found in *The Upgrade Process* manual available from the State Library Division.



*Core*

*Performance*

*Measures*

## Visits Per Capita

Visits Per Capita relates the number of people coming into the library to the population of the community it serves. It can be thought of as representing the average number of times during a year that a member of the community used the library. Visits Per Capita is calculated by dividing the library's total annual attendance by the community population.

### *Factors That Influence the Measure*

- Fluctuation in the size of the community
- Library hours of service—number of hours and schedule
- Physical arrangement and convenience of the library facilities
- Community awareness of library service
- Special programs—story hours, book discussions, lectures, etc.
- Library circulation and acquisitions policies, loan period, fines
- Age, condition, and breadth of the library's collection

### *Interpretation and Use*

Visits Per Capita is one measure of the community's use of the library, whether for materials, programs, or meetings. A high score on the measure indicates heavy use of the facility. A low score may indicate several things: For example, 1) the hours the library is open does not fit the needs of the community; 2) the library's collection and/or programming does not meet the community's interests or needs; 3) residents may be unaware of what the library had to offer; 4) the facility may be uninviting.

## Visits Per Capita

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Visits Per Capita			
		Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	12	5.0	4.8	8.8	1.0
2,500 – 9,999	20	6.8	6.5	18.0	2.7
10,000 – 24,999	17	5.2	4.7	16.0	1.0
25,000 – 99,999	5	4.8	5.3	7.9	0.2
100,000 – Up	3	4.4	4.5	5.7	3.2
Statewide Totals	57	4.9	5.2	18.0	0.2

# Circulation Per Capita

Circulation Per Capita relates the number of items a library circulates to the population of the community it serves. It can be thought of as representing the average number of items checked out in a year by a member of the community. Circulation Per Capita is calculated by dividing the library's total annual circulation by the community population.

## *Factors That Influence the Measure*

- Fluctuation in the size of the community
- Increase or decrease in the number of items circulated annually
- Library circulation and acquisitions policies, loan period, fines
- Special programs—story hours, book discussions, lectures, etc.
- Community awareness of library service
- Library hours of service—number of hours and schedule
- Number of library users
- Age, condition and breadth of the library's collection
- Physical arrangement and convenience of the library facilities

## *Interpretation and Use*

Circulation Per Capita is another measure of the community's use of the library. A high score on this measure indicates heavy use of the library's circulating materials. A low score may indicate several things: for example, 1) the library may have an extensive collection of non-circulating materials; 2) the library's collection may not meet community needs or interests; or 3) community residents may be unaware of the library's resources.

## Circulation Per Capita

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Circulation Per Capita			
		Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	13	10.7	10.2	22.2	2.2
2,500 – 9,999	26	9.5	8.9	27.7	4.4
10,000 – 24,999	20	9.4	8.1	16.7	2.2
25,000 – 99,999	6	10.7	11.2	18.2	1.6
100,000 – Up	5	11.7	10.1	14.8	5.2
Statewide Totals	70	11.0	8.9	27.7	1.6

# Turnover Rate

Turnover Rate measures the activity of a library’s collection, indicating the number of times each unit of library material would have circulated during the year if circulation had been spread evenly throughout the collection. It is calculated by dividing the library’s total annual circulation by total library holdings.

## *Factors That Influence the Measure*

- Increase or decrease in the annual circulation
- Circulation policies—loan period, fines
- Library acquisitions and collection development policies
- Special programs—story hours, book discussions, lectures, etc.
- Community awareness of library service
- Library hours of service—number of hours and schedule
- Number of library users
- Age, condition and breadth of the library’s collection
- Physical arrangement and convenience of the library facilities

## *Interpretation and Use*

This measure relates strongly to the goals each library has set for meeting the service needs of its community. A library that emphasizes the circulation of popular reading materials will have a higher Turnover Rate than a library that emphasizes subject breadth in its collection and has an extensive reference collection.

## Turnover Rate

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Turnover Rate			
		Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	13	0.9	1.1	2.7	0.2
2,500 – 9,999	26	1.8	1.7	3.5	0.7
10,000 – 24,999	20	3.0	3.1	6.7	0.8
25,000 – 99,999	6	4.4	4.0	5.5	2.4
100,000 – Up	5	4.2	3.3	5.1	2.4
Statewide Totals	70	3.6	2.1	6.7	0.2

# Holdings Per Capita

Holdings Per Capita relates the number of items a library owns to the population of the community it serves. It assesses collection size, not collection quality. To calculate Holdings Per Capita, divide the library's total holdings by the community population.

## *Factors That Influence the Measure*

- Size of the collection
- Fluctuation in the size of the community
- Size of the library materials budget
- Library collection and weeding policies
- How the library defines its holdings

## *Interpretation and Use*

Holdings Per Capita is one measure of the match between the size of your library's collection and the community it serves. This measure must be interpreted in connection with other measures, since collection size alone is not necessarily an indicator of quality. For example, Turnover Rate and Circulation Per Capita can be helpful in interpreting this measure.

## Holdings Per Capita

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Holdings Per Capita			
		Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	13	11.7	11.0	25.8	4.3
2,500 – 9,999	26	5.1	5.0	13.1	2.5
10,000 – 24,999	20	3.2	2.5	14.7	1.6
25,000 – 99,999	6	2.5	3.3	4.7	0.7
100,000 – Up	5	2.8	2.2	4.6	2.0
Statewide Totals	70	3.0	4.1	25.8	0.7

# Expenditures Per Capita

Expenditures Per Capita relates a library’s operating expenditures to the population of the community it serves. The figure is obtained by dividing the library’s total operating expenditures by the community population. The calculations are based just on a library’s operating expenditures (personnel, collection and operations) because Capital Outlay figures may vary dramatically from year to year.

## *Factors That Influence the Measure*

- Overall local economic conditions
- Changes in the tax base of local government
- Demands on local government for all public services in general and library service specifically
- Community perception of the importance and value of library services
- Political climate
- The extent to which certain services (custodial, utility, administrative functions, etc.) are charged to the library’s budget
- Changes in the availability of state and federal grants

## *Interpretation and Use*

In general, Expenditures Per Capita reflects the community’s financial support in relation to its size, although operating expenditures from other sources of income are included. Communities with a lower tax base usually must make a proportionally greater effort to support adequate library services. Although Expenditures Per Capita must be interpreted in conjunction with other measures to document the library’s performance, it can provide important information for use by elected officials and the general public as they review the library’s budget. To obtain a closer picture of a community’s financial support, see Local Financial Effort (pages 11-12) and Maintenance of Effort (pages 31, 38-39)

## Expenditures Per Capita

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Expenditures Per Capita			
		Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	13	\$19.50	\$18.99	\$38.09	\$12.50
2,500 – 9,999	26	\$21.80	\$17.99	\$72.42	\$6.26
10,000 – 24,999	20	\$15.98	\$14.47	\$52.91	\$4.07
25,000 – 99,999	6	\$22.73	\$27.47	\$36.47	\$2.29
100,000 – Up	5	\$29.13	\$23.44	\$51.51	\$14.81
Statewide Totals	70	\$25.54	\$17.98	\$72.42	\$2.29

# Local Financial Effort Index

The Local Financial Effort Index measures the extent of local government financial support to library services. The financial capacity of Utah's local governments varies, as some cities and counties are wealthier than others.

To measure local financial effort, a common "index point" must be defined. The property tax levy for library services authorized by the *Utah Code* can be used for this purpose. Title 9, Chapter 7 of the *Code* specifies that cities and counties may levy a tax, not to exceed .001 of assessed valuation, as a separate property tax to support library services. Even though not all local governments finance their library services from property taxes, and even though local governments may use other revenue sources to fund library services in excess of the property tax limitation, this figure can be used as an index to indicate local effort.

The index is derived by multiplying the city or county's major sources of revenue (assessed valuation plus local sales and use tax) by 0.001. This yields a hypothetical maximum figure which the city or county could appropriate to the public library. This figure is then divided into that portion of the library's total operating expenditures derived from income received from the local governmental entity. The result is multiplied by 100, yielding an index that reflects the effort made by the local government to support library services in terms of that government's financial capacity.

The dollar figure in parentheses after each library jurisdiction (Index of Local Financial Effort Table on page 12) is the operating expenditures per capita from local government funds. These figures, in conjunction with the index, show that the wealthier counties may spend more dollars, but may be making a small effort than the less affluent counties. Population figures have been adjusted to eliminate "contract populations." (See Preface, pages vii-viii, for explanation.)

## ***Factors That Influence the Measure***

- Overall local economic conditions
- Changing tax base
- Demands on local government for all public services, in general, and library service, specifically.
- Community perception of the importance and value of library service
- Political climate


## ***Interpretation and Use***

Knowing the extent to which a city or county government is providing all the financial support possible for its library is valuable information for public librarians and their boards. In submitting and defending their budgets, librarians and trustees must know that the amounts requested are realistic, given the funding base of their community.

## Index of Local Financial Effort

<b>Libraries Grouped by Effort Index Ranges</b>				
<b>Population Category</b>	<b>3.8—15.7</b>	<b>24.0—46.5</b>	<b>46.7—64.6</b>	<b>65.4—160.6</b>
0—2,499	Daggett Co. (\$8.32) Kane Co. (\$9.52) Rich Co. (\$12.76)	Piute Co. (\$7.43) Beaver Co. (\$51.19) Gunnison (\$6.66) Beaver (\$12.88)	Monroe (\$11.73) Minersville (\$10.04) Milford (\$15.43) Helper (\$13.48) Lewiston (\$21.04)	Garland (\$13.58) Richmond (\$17.69) Salina (\$25.41) Fillmore (\$37.73)
2,500— 9,999	Millard Co. (\$10.38) Carbon Co. (\$4.96) Juab Co. (\$5.30) Sevier Co. (\$4.45) Wayne Co. (\$5.06) Park City (\$65.95)	Morgan Co. (\$15.53) Garfield Co. (\$15.23) Tremonton (\$10.92) Santaquin (\$8.19) Smithfield (\$11.38)	Grand Co. (\$29.58) Nephi (\$13.44) Hyrum (\$11.77) Richfield (\$16.22) Kanab (\$24.69)	Parowan (\$24.64) No. Logan (\$35.30) Manti (\$21.92) Ephraim (\$21.64) Price (\$36.19) Delta (\$26.04) Mt. Pleasant (\$31.37)
10,000— 24,999	Tooele Co. (\$4.62) Box Elder Co. (\$7.15) Wasatch Co. (\$11.28) Summit Co. (\$18.40) Iron Co. (\$9.68) Sanpete Co. (\$5.87)	Cedar City (\$8.51) Duchesne Co. (\$9.58) Lehi (\$14.91) Emery Co. (\$52.91) Spanish Fork (\$14.51) San Juan Co. (\$13.65) Kaysville (\$13.26)	Pleasant Grove (\$13.20) Tooele (\$16.74) American Fork (\$18.85) Brigham City (\$18.78) Payson (\$16.77)	Springville (\$23.08)
25,000— 99,999	Utah Co. (\$1.99) Cache Co. (\$4.36)	Washngtn Co. (\$16.82)	Murray (\$35.42)	Uintah Co. (\$32.34) Logan (\$23.40) Orem (\$29.15)
100,000— Up		Davis Co. (\$13.57)	Provo (\$17.76) Salt Lake City (\$47.12)	Salt Lake Co. (\$28.60) Weber Co. (\$22.45)
No. of Libs Per Range	17	18	18	17

Based on FY 2000 assessed valuation of local property as reported to the Utah State Tax Commission.



***General  
Tables***

## Analysis of Basic Library Information

This section on Basic Library Information contains information on population, public service hours, staff, outlets, and visits. “Population” reflects the legal service jurisdiction (plus “contract population”), not the actual number of people served. “Public Service Hours Per Week” is based on a library’s posted schedule and includes all outlets for a jurisdiction. “Annual Public Service Hours” reports the actual number of hours a library’s outlets were open during the year, taking into consideration holidays and other days the library and/or branch was closed. “Staff” is reported as the equivalent of a full-time (40 hour) person. “Visits” is an annual figure and includes everyone who came into the library for whatever reason.

### Public Service Hours Per Week

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Public Service Hours Per Week			
		Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	13	20.6	22.0	40.0	2.5
2,500 – 9,999	26	38.0	37.0	68.0	5.8
10,000 – 24,999	19	72.5	58.0	320.0	37.8
25,000 – 99,999	6	91.5	61.5	243.4	56.4
100,000 – Up	5	422.6	316.1	1100.0	63.0
Statewide Totals	69	76.8	44.0	1100.0	2.5

### Visits Per Hours Open

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Visits Per Hours Open			
		Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	12	7.9	8.3	23.3	1.7
2,500 – 9,999	20	16.5	12.5	42.6	8.7
10,000 – 24,999	17	23.7	21.4	156.5	4.4
25,000 – 99,999	5	78.1	65.4	171.0	5.1
100,000 – Up	3	70.7	69.4	151.9	53.0
Statewide Totals	57	34.5	15.9	171.0	1.7

### Outlets Per 1000 Population

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Outlets/1,000 Population
0 – 2,499	13	0.62
2,500 – 9,999	26	0.22
10,000 – 24,999	20	0.11
25,000 – 99,999	6	0.04
100,000 – Up	5	0.02
Statewide Totals	70	0.06

### Staff Per 1000 Population

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Staff/1,000 Population
0 – 2,499	13	0.49
2,500 – 9,999	26	0.50
10,000 – 24,999	20	0.38
25,000 – 99,999	6	0.42
100,000 – Up	5	0.45
Statewide Totals	70	0.44

### Staff Per Library Jurisdiction

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Staff Per Library Jurisdiction			
		Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	13	0.85	0.63	1.60	0.22
2,500 – 9,999	26	2.73	2.26	9.34	0.49
10,000 – 24,999	20	6.63	6.75	15.00	0.80
25,000 – 99,999	6	23.48	16.76	48.00	2.00
100,000 – Up	5	125.44	71.00	306.21	40.13
Statewide Totals	70	14.04	2.83	306.21	0.22

## Basic Library Information

Library Jurisdiction	Population	Public Service Hours		FTE Staff	No. of Outlets	No. of Visits
		Weekly	Annual			
<b>BEAVER COUNTY</b>						
Beaver County	1,283	7.5	275.5	0.51	2	4,560
Beaver	2,454	40.0	2,029.0	1.23	1	14,670
Milford	1,451	26.0	1,352.0	1.00	1	12,702
Minersville	817	22.0	1,084.0	0.60	1	6,832
<b>BOX ELDER COUNTY</b>						
Box Elder County	17,799	53.8	2,633.0	2.90	3	N/R
Brigham City	17,411	60.0	2,988.0	7.00	1	127,558
Garland	1,943	25.0	1,250.0	0.63	1	9,285
Tremonton	5,592	30.0	1,500.0	1.50	1	23,816
<b>CACHE COUNTY</b>						
Cache County	15,818	47.0	2,224.0	2.75	2	15,627
Hyrum	12,596	38.0	1,849.0	3.40	1	49,800
Lewiston	1,877	40.0	2,080.0	1.45	1	16,216
Logan	42,670	60.0	3,032.0	18.30	1	225,480
North Logan	9,118	40.0	2,040.0	5.00	1	N/R
Richmond	2,051	22.0	1,098.0	1.53	1	9,638
Smithfield	7,261	28.5	1,399.5	1.60	1	32,871
<b>CARBON COUNTY</b>						
Carbon County	9,995	28.5	1,284.5	1.52	2	54,720
Helper	2,025	34.0	1,664.0	1.60	1	2,891
Price	8,402	50.0	4,292.0	6.70	1	43,671
<b>DAGGETT COUNTY</b>						
	921	2.5	120.0	0.22	1	876
<b>DAVIS COUNTY</b>						
Davis County	218,643	256.0	13,298.0	56.67	5	704,422
Kaysville	20,351	43.0	2,236.0	7.30	1	71,800
<b>DUCHESNE COUNTY</b>						
	14,371	75.6	3,725.0	4.01	3	71,065
<b>EMERY COUNTY</b>						
	10,860	320.0	16,000.0	15.00	8	70,177
<b>GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH</b>						
	4,735	57.5	2,803.0	2.00	3	32,576
<b>GRAND COUNTY</b>						
	8,485	68.0	3,387.0	7.00	1	67,662
<b>IRON COUNTY</b>						
Iron County	10,687	37.8	1,874.0	0.80	2	26,586
Cedar City	20,527	66.0	3,290.0	7.80	1	98,700
Parowan	2,565	54.0	2,696.0	1.80	1	23,400
<b>JUAB COUNTY</b>						
Juab County	3,505	5.8	302.5	0.53	1	N/R
Nephi	4,733	39.0	1,932.0	1.76	1	33,625
<b>KANE COUNTY</b>						
Kane County	2,482	8.3	433.0	0.61	1	10,097
Kanab	3,564	55.0	2,744.0	2.45	1	26,380
<b>MILLARD COUNTY</b>						
Millard County	6,574	28.0	1,275.0	2.64	1	N/R
Delta	3,209	34.0	1,768.0	2.76	1	28,941
Fillmore	2,622	34.0	1,698.0	2.30	1	17,392

## Basic Library Information

Library Jurisdiction	Population	Public Service Hours Weekly	Annual	FTE Staff	No. of Outlets	No. of Visits
MORGAN COUNTY	7,129	38.0	1,853.0	3.30	1	32,053
PIUTE COUNTY	1,435	6.0	288.0	0.32	1	3,216
RICH COUNTY	1,961	9.9	506.3	0.60	1	N/R
SALT LAKE COUNTY						
Murray	34,024	60.0	3,013.0	14.75	1	171,396
Salt Lake City	181,743	378.0	18,770.0	153.20	6	N/R
Salt Lake County	682,620	1,100.0	55,055.0	306.21	17	N/R
SAN JUAN COUNTY	14,413	98.0	6,227.0	5.50	3	66,333
SANPETE COUNTY						
Sanpete County	8,865	43.0	2,210.0	1.83	2	N/R
Ephraim	4,505	44.0	2,288.0	3.50	1	26,542
Gunnison	3,646	20.0	1,004.0	0.50	1	9,927
Manti	3,040	32.0	1,584.0	2.26	1	19,305
Mt. Pleasant	2,707	42.0	2,113.0	2.25	1	27,040
SEVIER COUNTY						
Sevier County	6,022	7.9	386.3	0.74	1	N/R
Monroe	1,845	25.0	1,240.0	0.70	1	11,674
Richfield	6,847	46.0	2,314.0	3.30	1	45,057
Salina	4,128	36.0	1,788.0	2.70	1	18,189
SUMMIT COUNTY						
Summit County	22,365	N/R	N/R	7.22	4	N/R
Park City	7,371	64.0	3,218.0	9.34	1	132,466
TOOELE COUNTY						
Tooele County	18,233	82.0	4,035.0	3.07	3	86,205
Tooele	22,502	48.0	2,307.0	10.00	1	361,000
UINTAH COUNTY	25,224	63.0	3,051.5	15.21	2	199,703
UTAH COUNTY						
Utah County	56,389	56.4	2,641.0	2.00	2	13,592
American Fork	21,941	72.0	3,528.0	11.58	1	113,786
Lehi	19,028	62.6	3,040.0	7.40	1	34,162
Orem	84,324	66.0	3,297.0	48.00	1	563,771
Payson	12,716	48.0	2,374.0	5.00	1	32,947
Pleasant Grove	23,468	60.0	2,998.0	10.55	1	125,250
Provo	105,166	63.0	3,147.0	40.13	1	478,000
Santaquin	4,834	36.0	1,762.0	1.08	1	20,755
Spanish Fork	20,246	52.0	2,528.0	6.50	1	N/R
Springville	20,424	58.0	2,920.0	9.85	1	127,705
WASATCH COUNTY	15,215	56.5	2,790.0	4.90	1	46,255
WASHINGTON COUNTY	90,354	243.4	12,606.0	42.60	6	N/R
WAYNE COUNTY	2,509	27.8	1,316.5	0.49	2	N/R
WEBER COUNTY	196,533	316.1	16,024.0	71.00	5	1,112,134
Statewide Totals	2,233,169	5,297.4	267,878.6	982.45	132	5,812,499

## Analysis of Library Services

This section on Library Services contains information on circulation, reference, interlibrary loan, and programs. This reflects the basic services available at most libraries. The circulation period is important when analyzing circulation patterns, as a shorter circulation period generally results in a higher circulation count. Reference questions may be taken in person, over the telephone, or via e-mail. Many libraries are seeing their reference transactions decrease as more and more patrons do their own searching on the Internet. Interlibrary loan indicates how many materials a library furnishes to, or receives from, other libraries in order to provide information for its patrons. The number of library-sponsored programs demonstrates other services available to the library's patrons.

### Comparison of 2000 and 2001 Circulation

Population Category	Number of Libraries	2000 Circulation	2001 Circulation	Percent Change
0—2,499	12	228,846	237,738	3.9%
2,500—9,999	26	1,266,574	1,344,737	6.2%
10,000—24,999	20	2,739,173	3,290,193	20.1%
25,000—99,999	6	3,531,007	3,576,744	1.3%
100,000—Up	5	14,533,628	16,138,126	11.0%
Statewide Totals	69	22,299,228	24,587,538	10.3%

### Cost Per Circulation Transaction

This measure divides the count of a library's circulation of materials by its operating expenditures. It is one measure of the cost of doing business. A higher cost per circulation usually reflects other services being offered by the library, especially if the number of visits is high. Patrons may be using the library for Internet access, studying, or programs, for example, instead of checking out materials. The cost of these other services cannot always be measured easily.

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Cost Per Circulation Transaction			
		Average	Median	High	Low
0—2,499	13	\$1.82	\$1.97	\$6.20	\$0.86
2,500—9,999	26	\$2.30	\$1.92	\$7.14	\$0.87
10,000—24,999	20	\$1.70	\$1.79	\$4.61	\$0.48
25,000—99,999	6	\$2.12	\$1.88	\$4.68	\$1.40
100,000—Up	5	\$2.50	\$2.30	\$4.51	\$1.85
Statewide Totals	70	\$2.32	\$1.90	\$7.14	\$0.48

## Circulation Load Per 1.0 FTE Staff Member

This measure indicates the relative yearly circulation workload in the state's public libraries. It shows the number of items each staff member would circulate to the public in a year if each staff member worked at the circulation desk 40 hours per week. It is influenced by such factors as the library's schedule and how many of the library's staff are directly involved in circulation activities. In general, larger libraries have more staff involved in non-circulation activities such as administration, reference, technical services, programming, and public relations.

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Circulation Load Per 1.0 FTE			
		Average	Median	High	Low
0—2,499	13	22,013	25,173	51,324	2,754
2,500—9,999	26	18,980	21,391	58,257	8,001
10,000—24,999	20	24,826	23,805	60,433	8,302
25,000—99,999	6	25,392	29,699	46,023	17,905
100,000—Up	5	25,730	24,854	33,015	14,397
Statewide Totals	70	25,031	23,769	60,433	2,754

## Reference Questions Per 1,000 Circulation Transactions

This measure is another assessment of workload. A high ratio indicates that the library's staff are spending a greater proportion of their time helping patrons use in-house resources for their research, rather than checking out materials. As with all of the measures, there is no good or bad ratio. Instead, this measure reflects a library's purpose. A popular reading library will have a low ratio because they have very high circulation, whereas a library that stresses research and reference services will have a higher ratio.

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Reference Questions Per 1,000 Circulation			
		Average	Median	High	Low
0—2,499	10	37.1	28.8	140.7	0.0
2,500—9,999	19	54.2	38.1	218.9	0.0
10,000—24,999	15	99.6	79.6	248.5	0.0
25,000—99,999	4	87.5	103.8	119.6	45.7
100,000—Up	4	235.5	110.9	880.1	77.0
Statewide Totals	52	161.1	49.7	880.1	0.0

## Library Services

Library Jurisdiction	Population	Circ. Period	Circulation	Reference	ILL Loaned	ILL Borrowed	No. of Programs
<b>BEAVER COUNTY</b>							
Beaver County	1,283	14	26,175	N/R	0	0	0
Beaver	2,454	14	18,917	658	0	136	44
Milford	1,451	14	25,173	1,509	23	137	51
Minersville	817	14	15,557	2,189	38	84	78
<b>BOX ELDER COUNTY</b>							
Box Elder County	17,799	14	170,006	N/R	0	81	1
Brigham City	17,411	14	204,469	16,932	0	112	190
Garland	1,943	14	22,443	726	0	34	76
Tremonton	5,592	21	36,075	5,740	0	61	27
<b>CACHE COUNTY</b>							
Cache County	15,818	14	83,360	N/R	0	19	2
Hyrum	12,596	14	205,472	24,472	0	80	33
Lewiston	1,877	14	41,666	1,052	0	27	70
Logan	42,670	21	597,942	27,298	2,180	1,816	180
North Logan	9,118	14	80,065	3,048	0	261	115
Richmond	2,051	14	20,898	N/R	0	78	42
Smithfield	7,261	14	62,195	250	0	41	118
<b>CARBON COUNTY</b>							
Carbon County	9,995	14	88,550	N/R	0	287	0
Helper	2,025	14	4,406	479	0	1	14
Price	8,402	14	60,639	3,130	69	337	128
<b>DAGGETT COUNTY</b>							
Daggett County	921	28	4,043	0	0	0	15
<b>DAVIS COUNTY</b>							
Davis County	218,643	28	1,408,449	154,929	1,961	1,202	787
Kaysville	20,351	21	154,288	27,179	0	1,375	51
<b>DUCHESNE COUNTY</b>							
Duchesne County	14,371	14	119,196	852	0	194	134
<b>EMERY COUNTY</b>							
Emery County	10,860	14	124,524	7,387	749	478	715
<b>GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH</b>							
Garfield Co./Panguitch	4,735	14	42,296	108	0	63	22
<b>GRAND COUNTY</b>							
Grand County	8,485	14	94,955	4,306	0	1,087	90
<b>IRON COUNTY</b>							
Iron County	10,687	14	22,986	0	0	3	0
Cedar City	20,527	14	155,789	19,740	0	289	135
Parowan	2,565	14	38,941	1,196	7	215	19
<b>JUAB COUNTY</b>							
Juab County	3,505	14	30,251	N/R	0	5	0
Nephi	4,733	14	50,003	10,946	0	106	40
<b>KANE COUNTY</b>							
Kane County	2,482	14	25,679	16	0	7	3
Kanab	3,564	14	43,656	192	0	125	29
<b>MILLARD COUNTY</b>							
Millard County	6,574	14	79,818	N/R	89	15	1
Delta	3,209	14	46,874	139	0	260	58
Fillmore	2,622	14	36,561	4,015	0	546	80

## Library Services

<b>Library Jurisdiction</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>Circ. Period</b>	<b>Circulation</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>ILL Loaned</b>	<b>ILL Borrowed</b>	<b>No. of Programs</b>
MORGAN COUNTY	7,129	14	77,507	1,140	0	177	122
PIUTE COUNTY	1,435	14	9,649	0	0	3	2
RICH COUNTY	1,961	14	12,261	N/R	0	N/R	0
<b>SALT LAKE COUNTY</b>							
Murray	34,024	28	265,084	28,652	28	25	228
Salt Lake City	181,743	28	2,530,895	283,029	7,946	2,311	1,415
Salt Lake County	682,620	21	10,109,528	N/R	4,520	1,824	608
SAN JUAN COUNTY	14,413	21	100,074	2,856	0	762	126
<b>SANPETE COUNTY</b>							
Sanpete County	8,865	14	93,376	N/R	2	9	5
Ephraim	4,505	14	36,434	1,345	0	133	78
Gunnison	3,646	14	20,500	N/R	0	24	14
Manti	3,040	28	26,419	4,752	0	399	46
Mt. Pleasant	2,707	14	74,879	N/R	0	360	22
<b>SEVIER COUNTY</b>							
Sevier County	6,022	14	26,264	N/R	0	19	0
Monroe	1,845	21	15,277	150	0	73	4
Richfield	6,847	14	52,598	2,080	0	488	132
Salina	4,128	21	23,962	311	0	329	10
<b>SUMMIT COUNTY</b>							
Summit County	22,365	14	108,691	N/R	0	N/R	N/R
Park City	7,371	21	74,730	5,298	417	590	120
<b>TOOELE COUNTY</b>							
Tooele County	18,233	14	122,966	N/R	0	415	2
Tooele	22,502	21	230,467	57,271	0	75	99
UINTAH COUNTY	25,224	14	460,211	N/R	4	1,016	135
<b>UTAH COUNTY</b>							
Utah County	56,389	14	92,046	11,011	0	37	2
American Fork	21,941	28	247,840	11,549	75	220	457
Lehi	19,028	21	151,158	12,032	22	376	361
Orem	84,324	21	1,398,697	139,038	0	280	888
Payson	12,716	14	98,215	4,680	6	145	80
Pleasant Grove	23,468	14	259,124	8,500	30	54	383
Provo	105,166	14	1,067,069	82,212	477	148	560
Santaquin	4,834	14	22,805	2,448	0	57	0
Spanish Fork	20,246	14	314,586	N/R	3	22	204
Springville	20,424	21	340,092	42,832	11	211	168
WASATCH COUNTY	15,215	14	76,890	11,860	0	204	81
WASHINGTON COUNTY	90,354	14	762,764	N/R	201	140	566
WAYNE COUNTY	2,509	14	24,384	0	0	191	9
WEBER COUNTY	196,533	21	1,022,185	899,655	2,595	1,040	1,413
Statewide Totals	2,233,169	***	24,591,944	1,931,189	21,453	21,719	11,688

## Analysis of Library Resources

This section on Library Resources looks at information about the library's collection, including current subscriptions, books, audio-visual, and other materials, as well as the public *PIONEER* databases. The collections in Utah's libraries continue to increase; however, the rate of increase is slowing as libraries reach their shelving capacity. Libraries are relying more and more on databases, such as those available through public *PIONEER*, to fill their patrons' needs.

### Comparison of Number of Items Held in 2000 and in 2001

Population Category	Number of Libraries	2000 Holdings	2001 Holdings	Percent Change
0—2,499	13	257,102	264,757	3.0%
2,500—9,999	26	697,613	730,367	4.7%
10,000—24,999	20	1,039,586	1,107,922	6.6%
25,000—99,999	6	773,519	816,114	5.5%
100,000—Up	5	3,634,371	3,881,538	6.8%
Statewide Totals	70	6,402,191	6,800,698	6.2%

### Comparison of Number of Subscriptions in 2000 and in 2001

Population Category	Number of Libraries	2000 Subscriptions	2001 Subscriptions	Percent Change
0—2,499	13	156	160	2.6%
2,500—9,999	26	1,098	1,158	5.5%
10,000—24,999	20	1,770	1,741	-1.6%
25,000—99,999	6	1,193	1,195	0.2%
100,000—Up	5	9,145	8,828	-3.5%
Statewide Totals	70	13,362	13,082	-2.1%

## Online Databases

*PIONEER: Utah's Online Library* offers many full text databases, via the Internet. Not only were the databases available at public libraries throughout Utah, they were also available to public library patrons at home and at work through remote "home" access. At the end of 2001, the following databases were available on public *PIONEER*: Deseret News Archives, EbscoHost, ProQuest, Salt Lake Tribune Archives, SIRS Knowledge Source, Wilson Biographies Plus, LitFinder, and AP Photo Archive. Many daily Utah and national newspapers, along with online dictionaries, directories and encyclopedias, were available as well.

Many of Utah's public libraries are also subscribing to additional databases. Some are through subscriptions similar to *PIONEER*, others are in-house databases (usually local information), and some are CD-ROM based information (such as an electronic encyclopedia).

## Make-up of the Collection

Traditionally, libraries have consisted of books and magazines; then libraries branched out into other formats, with the larger libraries leading the way. Now, although the emphasis is still on print materials, Utah's libraries show a diverse mix of information formats, including the online resources described above. In the year 2001 the libraries' collections could be described in the following fashion.

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Make-up of the Collection				
		Books	Audio	Video	Electron. Format**	Other
0 – 2,499	13	98.0%	1.2%	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%
2,500 – 9,999	26	93.7%	3.1%	2.2%	0.1%	0.9%
10,000 – 24,999	20	94.0%	2.2%	2.3%	0.2%	1.3%
25,000 – 99,999	6	85.1%	6.5%	6.0%	0.2%	2.2%
100,000 – Up	5	87.2%	6.9%	4.2%	0.5%	1.2%
Statewide Totals	70	89.2%	5.4%	3.7%	0.4%	1.3%

\*\* Exclusive of *PIONEER* resources.

## Library Resources

<b>Library Jurisdiction</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>Current Subscript.</b>	<b>Books</b>	<b>Audio-Visual</b>	<b>Other Mat'ls</b>	<b>Total Holdings</b>
<b>BEAVER COUNTY</b>						
Beaver County	1,283	0	31,499	989	0	32,488
Beaver	2,454	20	16,732	391	0	17,123
Milford	1,451	13	17,211	579	0	17,790
Minersville	817	16	8,935	55	0	8,990
<b>BOX ELDER COUNTY</b>						
Box Elder County	17,799	0	36,447	153	0	36,600
Brigham City	17,411	260	51,236	3,735	302	55,273
Garland	1,943	10	8,260	127	45	8,432
Tremonton	5,592	57	22,535	1,218	273	24,026
<b>CACHE COUNTY</b>						
Cache County	15,818	1	23,570	1,051	0	24,621
Hyrum	12,596	37	36,596	4,889	2,837	44,322
Lewiston	1,877	24	20,102	440	281	20,823
Logan	42,670	155	135,440	13,521	1,797	150,758
North Logan	9,118	76	23,879	2,085	192	26,156
Richmond	2,051	16	17,801	588	17	18,406
Smithfield	7,261	33	23,633	781	141	24,555
<b>CARBON COUNTY</b>						
Carbon County	9,995	0	25,473	0	0	25,473
Helper	2,025	48	12,532	140	0	12,672
Price	8,402	93	49,134	3,088	0	52,222
<b>DAGGETT COUNTY</b>						
Daggett County	921	0	23,126	99	0	23,225
<b>DAVIS COUNTY</b>						
Davis County	218,643	676	409,650	21,154	1,526	432,330
Kaysville	20,351	124	48,487	2,395	128	51,010
<b>DUCHESNE COUNTY</b>						
Duchesne County	14,371	54	58,929	1,840	25	60,794
<b>EMERY COUNTY</b>						
Emery County	10,860	126	157,096	2,600	145	159,841
<b>GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH</b>						
Garfield Co./Panguitch	4,735	11	60,014	1,344	154	61,512
<b>GRAND COUNTY</b>						
Grand County	8,485	104	31,123	2,112	112	33,347
<b>IRON COUNTY</b>						
Iron County	10,687	2	19,480	175	0	19,655
Cedar City	20,527	82	46,861	1,657	388	48,906
Parowan	2,565	57	33,129	494	11	33,634
<b>JUAB COUNTY</b>						
Juab County	3,505	0	21,476	796	11	22,283
Nephi	4,733	23	22,076	1,335	910	24,321
<b>KANE COUNTY</b>						
Kane County	2,482	1	36,817	184	1	37,002
Kanab	3,564	96	20,842	1,408	467	22,717
<b>MILLARD COUNTY</b>						
Millard County	6,574	0	31,499	989	0	32,488
Delta	3,209	38	24,501	1,453	83	26,037
Fillmore	2,622	19	21,772	991	38	22,801

## Library Resources

<b>Library Jurisdiction</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>Current Subscript.</b>	<b>Books</b>	<b>Audio-Visual</b>	<b>Other Mat'ls</b>	<b>Total Holdings</b>
MORGAN COUNTY	7,129	72	29,052	2,765	2,664	34,481
PIUTE COUNTY	1,435	1	36,817	184	1	37,002
RICH COUNTY	1,961	0	19,929	496	0	20,425
<b>SALT LAKE COUNTY</b>						
Murray	34,024	281	74,554	8,856	934	84,344
Salt Lake City	181,743	1,726	701,548	120,883	16,680	839,111
Salt Lake County	682,620	5,409	1,700,077	243,547	26,160	1,969,784
SAN JUAN COUNTY	14,413	97	58,056	2,447	120	60,623
<b>SANPETE COUNTY</b>						
Sanpete County	8,865	0	34,000	89	0	34,089
Ephraim	4,505	64	22,195	2,245	0	24,440
Gunnison	3,646	21	12,274	1,226	0	13,500
Manti	3,040	53	17,729	1,512	400	19,641
Mt. Pleasant	2,707	64	24,673	2,550	49	27,272
<b>SEVIER COUNTY</b>						
Sevier County	6,022	0	21,476	796	11	22,283
Monroe	1,845	11	9,632	724	23	10,379
Richfield	6,847	79	25,145	1,739	259	27,143
Salina	4,128	29	10,628	1,150	19	11,797
<b>SUMMIT COUNTY</b>						
Summit County	22,365	111	59,375	4,784	0	64,159
Park City	7,371	161	41,525	4,417	1,416	47,358
<b>TOOELE COUNTY</b>						
Tooele County	18,233	2	40,894	5	0	40,899
Tooele	22,502	68	47,093	2,026	1,078	50,197
UINTAH COUNTY	25,224	153	85,050	19,014	13,229	117,293
<b>UTAH COUNTY</b>						
Utah County	56,389	1	37,582	651	131	38,364
American Fork	21,941	285	88,056	2,874	6,654	97,584
Lehi	19,028	87	44,069	1,999	1,947	48,015
Orem	84,324	263	203,481	47,790	2,964	254,235
Payson	12,716	74	32,769	889	22	33,680
Pleasant Grove	23,468	50	48,962	3,213	2,522	54,697
Provo	105,166	352	178,701	16,550	19,409	214,660
Santaquin	4,834	8	13,145	883	480	14,508
Spanish Fork	20,246	68	43,660	3,178	45	46,883
Springville	20,424	135	65,734	7,753	461	73,948
WASATCH COUNTY	15,215	78	33,767	2,164	284	36,215
WASHINGTON COUNTY	90,354	342	158,000	12,750	370	171,120
WAYNE COUNTY	2,509	0	21,476	796	11	22,283
WEBER COUNTY	196,533	665	395,285	26,573	3,795	425,653
Statewide Totals	2,233,169	13,082	6,064,302	624,374	112,022	6,800,698

## Analysis of Online Access

This section on Online Access describes electronic library services. It provides information on the number of public access Internet workstations available, the number of patrons using the workstations, whether a library's online catalog is available from a remote location, and what kind of remote access can be used.

Electronic services have had a tremendous impact on public libraries. Library staff have learned new skills and have trained the public to use the new tools available. In the process, librarians have noticed a change in library usage patterns. In many cases, a new clientele has come in to use the Internet and stayed to use other resources. Sometimes use of non-fiction materials, especially reference materials, and periodicals has dropped as patrons use the online databases and web sites found on the Internet and on public *PIONEER*.

### Public Access Internet Stations

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Public Access Internet Stations			
		Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	13	3	2	7	0
2,500 – 9,999	26	5	6	12	0
10,000 – 24,999	20	7	6	32	1
25,000 – 99,999	6	15	19	28	1
100,000 – Up	5	64	63	150	8
Statewide Totals	70	10	6	150	0

### Public Access Internet Stations Per 1,000 Population

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Internet Stations/ 1,000 Population
0 – 2,499	13	1.51
2,500 – 9,999	26	0.94
10,000 – 24,999	20	0.42
25,000 – 99,999	6	0.28
100,000 – Up	5	0.23
Statewide Totals	70	0.33

## Use of Library Electronic Resources

These tables indicate the number of times during the year people used a public access Internet station to access information, whether that was the online catalog, in-house CD-ROM databases, public *PIONEER*, or the Internet.

### Number of User Sessions of Electronic Resources

Population Category	Number of Libraries	User Sessions of Electronic Resources			
		Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	13	1,216	832	4,420	0
2,500 – 9,999	25	5,608	3,848	25,896	0
10,000 – 24,999	19	9,103	8,944	27,352	208
25,000 – 99,999	5	31,460	31,252	58,240	104
100,000 – Up	5	655,907	361,244	2,158,000	39,104
Statewide Totals	67	56,206	4,992	2,158,000	0

### Comparison of Number of User Sessions in 2000 and in 2001

Population Category	Number of Libraries	2000 Sessions	2001 Sessions	Percent Change
0—2,499	13	14,144	15,808	11.8%
2,500—9,999	21	130,104	134,004	3.0%
10,000—24,999	19	145,912	172,952	18.5%
25,000—99,999	5	76,700	157,300	105.1%
100,000—Up	4	2,640,976	2,918,292	10.5%
Statewide Totals	62	3,007,836	3,398,356	13.0%

## Online Access

Library Jurisdiction	Population	No. of Internet Stations	No. of User Sessions	Remote Access to Online Catalog
<b>BEAVER COUNTY</b>				
Beaver County	1,283	0	0	Internet
Beaver	2,454	7	2,236	None
Milford	1,451	3	1,924	None
Minersville	817	2	832	None
<b>BOX ELDER COUNTY</b>				
Box Elder County	17,799	1	208	None
Brigham City	17,411	3	11,700	Internet
Garland	1,943	5	2,600	None
Tremonton	5,592	2	1,352	None
<b>CACHE COUNTY</b>				
Cache County	15,818	4	1,040	Internet
Hyrum	12,596	4	3,120	Internet
Lewiston	1,877	7	4,420	Internet
Logan	42,670	18	N/R	Internet
North Logan	9,118	12	6,396	None
Richmond	2,051	4	572	None
Smithfield	7,261	8	1,248	None
<b>CARBON COUNTY</b>				
Carbon County	9,995	1	1,976	None
Helper	2,025	1	1,300	None
Price	8,402	8	5,720	Internet
<b>DAGGETT COUNTY</b>				
Daggett County	921	0	0	None
<b>DAVIS COUNTY</b>				
Davis County	218,643	25	560,560	Internet & Dial-in
Kaysville	20,351	9	5,200	None
<b>DUCHESNE COUNTY</b>				
Duchesne County	14,371	6	20,020	None
<b>EMERY COUNTY</b>				
Emery County	10,860	32	9,828	None
<b>GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH</b>				
Garfield Co./Panguitch	4,735	4	5,096	None
<b>GRAND COUNTY</b>				
Grand County	8,485	9	25,480	Internet
<b>IRON COUNTY</b>				
Iron County	10,687	2	936	None
Cedar City	20,527	7	27,352	Internet
Parowan	2,565	2	7,800	None
<b>JUAB COUNTY</b>				
Juab County	3,505	0	0	None
Nephi	4,733	6	6,760	None
<b>KANE COUNTY</b>				
Kane County	2,482	0	0	None
Kanab	3,564	7	11,284	None
<b>MILLARD COUNTY</b>				
Millard County	6,574	0	0	Internet
Delta	3,209	7	4,472	None
Fillmore	2,622	7	1,456	None

## Online Access

Library Jurisdiction	Population	No. of Internet Stations	No. of User Sessions	Remote Access to Online Catalog
MORGAN COUNTY	7,129	6	2,080	None
PIUTE COUNTY	1,435	0	0	None
RICH COUNTY	1,961	0	0	None
SALT LAKE COUNTY				
Murray	34,024	5	31,252	Internet & Dial-in
Salt Lake City	181,743	63	361,244	Internet & Dial-in
Salt Lake County	682,620	150	2,158,000	Internet & Dial-in
SAN JUAN COUNTY	14,413	13	15,652	None
SANPETE COUNTY				
Sanpete County	8,865	1	832	None
Ephraim	4,505	6	2,808	None
Gunnison	3,646	5	N/R	None
Manti	3,040	6	3,848	None
Mt. Pleasant	2,707	6	7,852	Internet
SEVIER COUNTY				
Sevier County	6,022	0	0	None
Monroe	1,845	5	1,924	None
Richfield	6,847	9	6,864	None
Salina	4,128	5	6,864	None
SUMMIT COUNTY				
Summit County	22,365	21	N/R	Internet
Park City	7,371	10	25,896	None
TOOELE COUNTY				
Tooele County	18,233	1	1,040	None
Tooele	22,502	6	9,360	None
UINTAH COUNTY	25,224	20	27,144	Internet
UTAH COUNTY				
Utah County	56,389	1	104	None
American Fork	21,941	11	14,456	None
Lehi	19,028	3	4,992	None
Orem	84,324	20	58,240	Internet & Dial-in
Payson	12,716	3	5,720	None
Pleasant Grove	23,468	3	6,292	None
Provo	105,166	8	39,104	Internet
Santaquin	4,834	2	1,560	None
Spanish Fork	20,246	7	16,952	None
Springville	20,424	6	8,944	None
WASATCH COUNTY	15,215	6	10,140	Internet & Dial-in
WASHINGTON COUNTY	90,354	28	40,560	Internet
WAYNE COUNTY	2,509	5	2,548	None
WEBER COUNTY	196,533	74	160,628	Internet & Dial-in
Statewide Totals	2,233,169	728	3,765,788	***

# Analysis of Library Finances

Expenditures on the following pages (excluding Maintenance of Effort data) represent operating expenditures (and capital outlay, where designated) from all sources of income. Those sources of income are broken out on pages 32 and 34, and include:

**Local Government:** funds derived from taxes and other income of the local entity (city or county) governing the library.

**Local Government Contracts:** monies received from cities or counties outside the library’s jurisdiction. They compensate the library for providing library services to the residents of those other cities/counties, or are a city’s contribution to a county library.

**Other Sources:** monies received from non-governmental sources. These may include fines and fees from patrons, grants from private foundations, and other donations and gifts. They do not include non-monetary gifts or donations, such as books, furnishings, or electronic equipment.

**State/Federal Government:** monies received from the state or federal government. These may be grants or payments for services rendered, or a combination of the two.

## Expenditure Categories as a Percentage of Operating Budget

According to the Index of American Public Library Circulation, in 2000 the nation’s public libraries spent 64% of their operating budgets on salaries and benefits, 16% on library materials and 20% on other operating expenses.\*\* Fiscal Year 2001 figures for Utah are provided below.

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Expenditure Categories Percentage		
		Personnel	Collections	Operations
0—2,499	13	62%	17%	21%
2,500—9,999	26	64%	16%	20%
10,000—24,999	20	68%	17%	15%
25,000—99,999	6	71%	12%	17%
100,000—Up	5	62%	22%	16%
Statewide Totals	70	64%	20%	16%

\*\* *American Libraries*, November, 2001, page 64.

## Local Maintenance of Effort

The State Library Division annually offers Public Library Development Grants to libraries meeting the standards defined by *The Upgrade Process* ([www.state.lib.ut.us/Programs/librarystandards.html](http://www.state.lib.ut.us/Programs/librarystandards.html)). This grant program was established with the understanding that local jurisdictions could not use grant funds to replace local monies.

The maintenance-of-effort provision for the Public Library Development Grants, adopted by the Utah State Library Board in May, 1991, reads, in part, “The local government must expend from local government sources an aggregate amount for library service (exclusive of capital outlay) of not less than 90 percent of that actually expended in the second preceding fiscal year. Failure to do so will place the jurisdiction’s development grants in jeopardy under the maintenance-of-effort provisions revised and established by the State Library Board.”

Operating expenditures charged to local government revenues (not including local government contracts or other, non-governmental, sources) were used to give a indication of maintenance of effort in the composite table on this page and in the table shown on pages 38-39.

The percentage change covers the two year period required by the State Library Board. The figures may show a steady increase or decline, or they may represent a one year anomaly. At the time of the awarding of the Public Library Development Grants, any library jurisdictions showing a decrease in maintenance of effort of more than 10 percent will not receive a grant. However, there is an appeals process, whereby the income and expenditures of the two comparisons years can be audited and changed or other extenuating circumstances explained. The State Library Board makes the final ruling.

### Percent Change in Local Maintenance of Effort, 1999-2001

Population Category	Number of Libraries	Change in Maintenance of Effort			
		Average	Median	High	Low
0 – 2,499	13	23.9%	24.6%	211.0%	1.5%
2,500 – 9,999	26	23.2%	19.9%	84.3%	-7.2%
10,000 – 24,999	20	17.2%	12.9%	89.8%	-4.6%
25,000 – 99,999	6	15.5%	14.5%	46.2%	-10.3%
100,000 – Up	5	11.0%	10.7%	19.3%	6.7%
Statewide Totals	70	12.9%	16.3%	211.0%	-10.3%

## Library Finances – Income

Library Jurisdiction	Population	Revenue				Total Income
		Local Government	Local Govt Contracts	Other Sources	State/Fed Government	
<b>BEAVER COUNTY</b>						
Beaver County	1,283	\$15,591	\$0	\$0	\$7,956	\$23,547
Beaver	2,454	\$32,906	\$15,000	\$590	\$4,041	\$52,537
Milford	1,451	\$22,394	\$16,700	\$0	\$8,308	\$47,402
Minersville	817	\$9,204	\$19,000	\$0	\$3,919	\$32,123
<b>BOX ELDER COUNTY</b>						
Box Elder County	17,799	\$134,598	\$0	\$0	\$13,881	\$148,479
Brigham City	17,411	\$353,581	\$0	\$20,639	\$41,892	\$416,112
Garland	1,943	\$26,391	\$0	\$0	\$10,507	\$36,898
Tremonton	5,592	\$61,074	\$0	\$0	\$4,155	\$65,229
<b>CACHE COUNTY</b>						
Cache County	15,818	\$113,447	\$14,121	\$374	\$13,314	\$141,256
Hyrum	12,596	\$98,739	\$13,870	\$11,354	\$11,555	\$135,518
Lewiston	1,877	\$39,489	\$0	\$0	\$8,343	\$47,832
Logan	42,670	\$998,637	\$0	\$0	\$85,145	\$1,083,782
North Logan	9,118	\$218,832	\$0	\$25,399	\$4,634	\$248,865
Richmond	2,051	\$36,276	\$0	\$150	\$4,766	\$41,192
Smithfield	7,261	\$83,394	\$0	\$2,061	\$6,636	\$92,091
<b>CARBON COUNTY</b>						
Carbon County	9,995	\$52,938	\$2,654	\$0	\$25,854	\$81,446
Helper	2,025	\$27,300	\$0	\$0	\$9,397	\$36,697
Price	8,402	\$304,105	\$0	\$8,717	\$13,426	\$326,248
<b>DAGGETT COUNTY</b>						
Daggett County	921	\$8,104	\$0	\$0	\$4,070	\$12,174
<b>DAVIS COUNTY</b>						
Davis County	218,643	\$3,106,048	\$0	\$158,817	\$112,803	\$3,377,668
Kaysville	20,351	\$269,926	\$0	\$7,190	\$8,478	\$285,594
<b>DUCHESNE COUNTY</b>						
Duchesne County	14,371	\$139,825	\$0	\$0	\$21,802	\$161,627
<b>EMERY COUNTY</b>						
Emery County	10,860	\$574,647	\$0	\$0	\$7,533	\$582,180
<b>GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH</b>						
Garfield Co./Panguitch	4,735	\$73,781	\$0	\$524	\$15,479	\$89,784
<b>GRAND COUNTY</b>						
Grand County	8,485	\$252,013	\$0	\$13,552	\$13,077	\$278,642
<b>IRON COUNTY</b>						
Iron County	10,687	\$29,648	\$0	\$0	\$15,711	\$45,359
Cedar City	20,527	\$174,668	\$60,000	\$19,860	\$30,171	\$284,699
Parowan	2,565	\$63,212	\$15,000	\$0	\$4,056	\$82,268
<b>JUAB COUNTY</b>						
Juab County	3,505	\$19,399	\$0	\$0	\$13,305	\$32,704
Nephi	4,733	\$63,590	\$0	\$0	\$2,664	\$66,254
<b>KANE COUNTY</b>						
Kane County	2,482	\$24,714	\$0	\$500	\$12,340	\$37,554
Kanab	3,564	\$90,005	\$0	\$0	\$9,461	\$99,466
<b>MILLARD COUNTY</b>						
Millard County	6,574	\$74,776	\$0	\$0	\$43,332	\$118,108
Delta	3,209	\$83,551	\$0	\$6,569	\$4,180	\$94,300
Fillmore	2,622	\$98,159	\$1,523	\$328	\$7,486	\$107,496

## Library Finances – Expenditures

Library Jurisdiction	Expenditures					Total
	Per-sonnel	Collec-tions	Opera-tions	Subtotal	Capital Outlay	
<b>BEAVER COUNTY</b>						
Beaver County	\$16,953	\$3,039	\$2,637	\$22,629	\$918	\$23,547
Beaver	\$32,357	\$6,007	\$9,068	\$47,432	\$5,105	\$52,537
Milford	\$31,005	\$4,509	\$11,888	\$47,402	\$0	\$47,402
Minersville	\$14,737	\$7,450	\$8,936	\$31,123	\$1,000	\$32,123
<b>BOX ELDER COUNTY</b>						
Box Elder County	\$108,687	\$15,738	\$15,887	\$140,312	\$8,167	\$148,479
Brigham City	\$244,841	\$68,434	\$76,252	\$389,527	\$26,585	\$416,112
Garland	\$17,336	\$3,646	\$15,916	\$36,898	\$0	\$36,898
Tremonton	\$36,450	\$12,805	\$15,974	\$65,229	\$0	\$65,229
<b>CACHE COUNTY</b>						
Cache County	\$99,681	\$17,227	\$19,723	\$136,631	\$4,625	\$141,256
Hyrum	\$64,847	\$24,904	\$9,838	\$99,589	\$35,929	\$135,518
Lewiston	\$30,186	\$8,746	\$8,900	\$47,832	\$0	\$47,832
Logan	\$661,007	\$163,653	\$259,122	\$1,083,782	\$0	\$1,083,782
North Logan	\$118,864	\$51,412	\$72,259	\$242,535	\$6,330	\$248,865
Richmond	\$25,924	\$6,001	\$9,267	\$41,192	\$0	\$41,192
Smithfield	\$50,622	\$28,978	\$11,691	\$91,291	\$800	\$92,091
<b>CARBON COUNTY</b>						
Carbon County	\$53,046	\$10,996	\$12,796	\$76,838	\$4,608	\$81,446
Helper	\$15,500	\$5,000	\$6,800	\$27,300	\$9,397	\$36,697
Price	\$199,311	\$73,545	\$53,392	\$326,248	\$0	\$326,248
<b>DAGGETT COUNTY</b>						
	\$8,339	\$1,254	\$1,924	\$11,517	\$657	\$12,174
<b>DAVIS COUNTY</b>						
Davis County	\$2,028,987	\$622,355	\$587,854	\$3,239,196	\$138,472	\$3,377,668
Kaysville	\$188,784	\$68,886	\$27,924	\$285,594	\$0	\$285,594
<b>DUCHESNE COUNTY</b>						
	\$111,203	\$21,353	\$25,741	\$158,297	\$3,330	\$161,627
<b>EMERY COUNTY</b>						
	\$431,510	\$46,655	\$96,482	\$574,647	\$7,533	\$582,180
<b>GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH</b>						
	\$60,405	\$6,951	\$20,146	\$87,502	\$2,282	\$89,784
<b>GRAND COUNTY</b>						
	\$181,820	\$36,110	\$59,698	\$277,628	\$1,014	\$278,642
<b>IRON COUNTY</b>						
Iron County	\$32,023	\$5,942	\$5,534	\$43,499	\$1,860	\$45,359
Cedar City	\$189,310	\$35,700	\$59,689	\$284,699	\$0	\$284,699
Parowan	\$50,826	\$11,438	\$20,004	\$82,268	\$0	\$82,268
<b>JUAB COUNTY</b>						
Juab County	\$23,710	\$3,728	\$3,892	\$31,330	\$1,374	\$32,704
Nephi	\$48,474	\$12,048	\$5,732	\$66,254	\$0	\$66,254
<b>KANE COUNTY</b>						
Kane County	\$26,159	\$4,358	\$5,412	\$35,929	\$1,625	\$37,554
Kanab	\$65,968	\$11,284	\$20,214	\$97,466	\$2,000	\$99,466
<b>MILLARD COUNTY</b>						
Millard County	\$81,314	\$13,525	\$11,742	\$106,581	\$11,527	\$118,108
Delta	\$64,442	\$15,296	\$10,382	\$90,120	\$4,180	\$94,300
Fillmore	\$53,630	\$9,691	\$31,031	\$94,352	\$13,144	\$107,496

## Library Finances – Income

Library Jurisdiction	Population	Revenue				Total Income
		Local Government	Local Govt Contracts	Other Sources	State/Fed Government	
MORGAN COUNTY	7,129	\$110,717	\$0	\$1,086	\$6,539	\$118,342
PIUTE COUNTY	1,435	\$11,151	\$0	\$150	\$7,905	\$19,206
RICH COUNTY	1,961	\$26,004	\$0	\$0	\$14,155	\$40,159
SALT LAKE COUNTY						
Murray	34,024	\$1,219,543	\$0	\$0	\$35,915	\$1,255,458
Salt Lake City	181,743	\$9,778,750	\$0	\$898,192	\$95,268	\$10,772,210
Salt Lake County	682,620	\$19,801,222	\$0	\$1,476,775	\$330,705	\$21,608,702
SAN JUAN COUNTY	14,413	\$199,823	\$0	\$0	\$58,439	\$258,262
SANPETE COUNTY						
Sanpete County	8,865	\$62,498	\$0	\$0	\$39,305	\$101,803
Ephraim	4,505	\$97,470	\$0	\$0	\$13,012	\$110,482
Gunnison	3,646	\$15,955	\$1,162	\$2,520	\$4,113	\$23,750
Manti	3,040	\$66,627	\$0	\$5,564	\$4,267	\$76,458
Mt. Pleasant	2,707	\$84,915	\$0	\$0	\$4,411	\$89,326
SEVIER COUNTY						
Sevier County	6,022	\$36,071	\$0	\$0	\$9,714	\$45,785
Monroe	1,845	\$21,676	\$0	\$7,703	\$10,264	\$39,643
Richfield	6,847	\$111,043	\$0	\$0	\$8,800	\$119,843
Salina	4,128	\$60,800	\$2,000	\$2,500	\$11,501	\$76,801
SUMMIT COUNTY						
Summit County	22,365	\$455,180	\$0	\$11,468	\$11,697	\$478,345
Park City	7,371	\$486,140	\$0	\$0	\$47,673	\$533,813
TOOELE COUNTY						
Tooele County	18,233	\$87,462	\$37,685	\$500	\$41,309	\$166,956
Tooele	22,502	\$376,745	\$0	\$16,071	\$7,884	\$400,700
UINTAH COUNTY	25,224	\$851,229	\$0	\$60,026	\$19,830	\$931,085
UTAH COUNTY						
Utah County	56,389	\$117,034	\$0	\$0	\$17,169	\$134,203
American Fork	21,941	\$422,649	\$0	\$0	\$7,912	\$430,561
Lehi	19,028	\$292,382	\$0	\$0	\$7,297	\$299,679
Orem	84,324	\$2,525,765	\$0	\$32,700	\$76,249	\$2,634,714
Payson	12,716	\$213,259	\$0	\$0	\$7,448	\$220,707
Pleasant Grove	23,468	\$309,847	\$0	\$0	\$8,834	\$318,681
Provo	105,166	\$1,921,841	\$0	\$84,511	\$57,089	\$2,063,441
Santaquin	4,834	\$278,947	\$0	\$0	\$4,305	\$283,252
Spanish Fork	20,246	\$293,741	\$0	\$31,225	\$7,076	\$332,042
Springville	20,424	\$474,110	\$0	\$0	\$13,612	\$487,722
WASATCH COUNTY	15,215	\$171,684	\$0	\$20,765	\$19,925	\$212,374
WASHINGTON COUNTY	90,354	\$1,591,446	\$45,625	\$92,054	\$72,776	\$1,801,901
WAYNE COUNTY	2,509	\$13,265	\$0	\$0	\$14,084	\$27,349
WEBER COUNTY	196,533	\$4,412,651	\$0	\$134,859	\$59,994	\$4,607,504
Statewide Totals	2,233,169	\$54,774,604	\$244,340	\$3,155,293	\$1,760,153	\$59,934,390

## Library Finances – Expenditures

Library Jurisdiction	Expenditures					Total
	Per-sonnel	Collec-tions	Opera-tions	Subtotal	Capital Outlay	
MORGAN COUNTY	\$75,906	\$19,354	\$23,082	\$118,342	\$0	\$118,342
PIUTE COUNTY	\$13,453	\$2,241	\$2,677	\$18,371	\$835	\$19,206
RICH COUNTY	\$26,947	\$5,462	\$6,224	\$38,633	\$1,526	\$40,159
SALT LAKE COUNTY						
Murray	\$745,119	\$156,812	\$339,091	\$1,241,022	\$14,436	\$1,255,458
Salt Lake City	\$6,314,850	\$1,769,853	\$1,277,441	\$9,362,144	\$1,410,066	\$10,772,210
Salt Lake County	\$12,625,854	\$5,476,440	\$3,045,640	\$21,147,934	\$460,768	\$21,608,702
SAN JUAN COUNTY	\$174,598	\$37,476	\$34,595	\$246,669	\$11,593	\$258,262
SANPETE COUNTY						
Sanpete County	\$70,244	\$12,522	\$14,049	\$96,815	\$4,988	\$101,803
Ephraim	\$51,744	\$18,485	\$40,253	\$110,482	\$0	\$110,482
Gunnison	\$7,257	\$8,448	\$7,108	\$22,813	\$937	\$23,750
Manti	\$43,944	\$25,100	\$7,414	\$76,458	\$0	\$76,458
Mt. Pleasant	\$50,991	\$19,322	\$19,013	\$89,326	\$0	\$89,326
SEVIER COUNTY						
Sevier County	\$33,194	\$5,220	\$5,448	\$43,862	\$1,923	\$45,785
Monroe	\$13,810	\$16,619	\$3,046	\$33,475	\$6,168	\$39,643
Richfield	\$68,107	\$20,839	\$30,897	\$119,843	\$0	\$119,843
Salina	\$52,529	\$11,984	\$12,288	\$76,801	\$0	\$76,801
SUMMIT COUNTY						
Summit County	\$264,942	\$102,093	\$61,037	\$428,072	\$50,273	\$478,345
Park City	\$393,415	\$53,104	\$87,294	\$533,813	\$0	\$533,813
TOOELE COUNTY						
Tooele County	\$116,822	\$24,994	\$20,520	\$162,336	\$4,620	\$166,956
Tooele	\$268,841	\$42,352	\$89,507	\$400,700	\$0	\$400,700
UINTAH COUNTY	\$587,228	\$143,746	\$164,072	\$895,046	\$36,039	\$931,085
UTAH COUNTY						
Utah County	\$100,248	\$16,641	\$12,080	\$128,969	\$5,234	\$134,203
American Fork	\$302,238	\$65,853	\$53,459	\$421,550	\$9,011	\$430,561
Lehi	\$192,733	\$60,652	\$30,412	\$283,797	\$15,882	\$299,679
Orem	\$2,092,319	\$279,856	\$118,445	\$2,490,620	\$144,094	\$2,634,714
Payson	\$153,922	\$30,270	\$36,515	\$220,707	\$0	\$220,707
Pleasant Grove	\$206,058	\$81,277	\$31,346	\$318,681	\$0	\$318,681
Provo	\$1,315,987	\$321,386	\$337,215	\$1,974,588	\$88,853	\$2,063,441
Santaquin	\$32,277	\$3,838	\$7,779	\$43,894	\$239,358	\$283,252
Spanish Fork	\$218,561	\$49,699	\$63,782	\$332,042	\$0	\$332,042
Springville	\$324,286	\$123,642	\$33,324	\$481,252	\$6,470	\$487,722
WASATCH COUNTY	\$139,606	\$31,372	\$28,436	\$199,414	\$12,960	\$212,374
WASHINGTON COUNTY	\$1,196,640	\$177,262	\$355,082	\$1,728,984	\$72,917	\$1,801,901
WAYNE COUNTY	\$19,828	\$3,118	\$3,254	\$26,200	\$1,149	\$27,349
WEBER COUNTY	\$2,739,308	\$687,279	\$1,180,917	\$4,607,504	\$0	\$4,607,504
Statewide Totals	\$36,502,064	\$11,343,275	\$9,196,489	\$57,041,828	\$2,892,562	\$59,934,390

## Comparison of 2000 and 2001 Operating Expenditures

Library Jurisdiction	2000 Operating Expenditures	2001 Operating Expenditures	Percent Change
<b>BEAVER COUNTY</b>			
Beaver County	\$22,851	\$22,629	-1.0%
Beaver	\$48,309	\$47,432	-1.8%
Milford	\$40,090	\$47,402	18.2%
Minersville	\$33,944	\$31,123	-8.3%
<b>BOX ELDER COUNTY</b>			
Box Elder County	\$130,564	\$140,312	7.5%
Brigham City	\$358,156	\$389,527	8.8%
Garland	\$32,293	\$36,898	14.3%
Tremonton	\$59,926	\$65,229	8.8%
<b>CACHE COUNTY</b>			
Cache County	\$134,304	\$136,631	1.7%
Hyrum	\$92,875	\$99,589	7.2%
Lewiston	\$46,210	\$47,832	3.5%
Logan	\$987,651	\$1,083,782	9.7%
North Logan	\$345,792	\$242,535	-29.9%
Richmond	\$43,271	\$41,192	-4.8%
Smithfield	\$78,198	\$91,291	16.7%
<b>CARBON COUNTY</b>			
Carbon County	\$73,262	\$76,838	4.9%
Helper	\$25,226	\$27,300	8.2%
Price	\$294,917	\$326,248	10.6%
<b>DAGGETT COUNTY</b>			
	\$11,627	\$11,517	-0.9%
<b>DAVIS COUNTY</b>			
Davis County	\$2,914,422	\$3,239,196	11.1%
Kaysville	\$254,816	\$285,594	12.1%
<b>DUCHESNE COUNTY</b>			
	\$184,578	\$158,297	-14.2%
<b>EMERY COUNTY</b>			
	\$613,430	\$574,647	-6.3%
<b>GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH</b>			
	\$88,970	\$87,502	-1.6%
<b>GRAND COUNTY</b>			
	\$238,089	\$277,628	16.6%
<b>IRON COUNTY</b>			
Iron County	\$43,855	\$43,499	-0.8%
Cedar City	\$281,381	\$284,699	1.2%
Parowan	\$75,092	\$82,268	9.6%
<b>JUAB COUNTY</b>			
Juab County	\$32,038	\$31,330	-2.2%
Nephi	\$65,655	\$66,254	0.9%
<b>KANE COUNTY</b>			
Kane County	\$36,156	\$35,929	-0.6%
Kanab	\$87,697	\$97,466	11.1%
<b>MILLARD COUNTY</b>			
Millard County	\$107,302	\$106,581	-0.7%
Delta	\$86,700	\$90,120	3.9%
Fillmore	\$90,989	\$94,352	3.7%

## Comparison of 2000 and 2001 Operating Expenditures

Library Jurisdiction	2000 Operating Expenditures	2001 Operating Expenditures	Percent Change
MORGAN COUNTY	\$115,652	\$118,342	2.3%
PIUTE COUNTY	\$18,538	\$18,371	-0.9%
RICH COUNTY	\$39,182	\$38,633	-1.4%
SALT LAKE COUNTY			
Murray	\$1,102,021	\$1,241,022	12.6%
Salt Lake City	\$8,775,675	\$9,362,144	6.7%
Salt Lake County	\$20,867,012	\$21,147,934	1.3%
SAN JUAN COUNTY	\$255,042	\$246,669	-3.3%
SANPETE COUNTY			
Sanpete County	\$86,279	\$96,815	12.2%
Ephraim	\$77,521	\$110,482	42.5%
Gunnison	\$21,659	\$22,813	5.3%
Manti	\$65,017	\$76,458	17.6%
Mt. Pleasant	\$90,287	\$89,326	-1.1%
SEVIER COUNTY			
Sevier County	\$44,852	\$43,862	-2.2%
Monroe	\$32,999	\$33,475	1.4%
Richfield	\$107,303	\$119,843	11.7%
Salina	\$62,453	\$76,801	23.0%
SUMMIT COUNTY			
Summit County	\$354,461	\$428,072	20.8%
Park City	\$501,194	\$533,813	6.5%
TOOELE COUNTY			
Tooele County	\$155,472	\$162,336	4.4%
Tooele	\$316,911	\$400,700	26.4%
UINTAH COUNTY	\$633,279	\$895,046	41.3%
UTAH COUNTY			
Utah County	\$142,228	\$128,969	-9.3%
American Fork	\$363,603	\$421,550	15.9%
Lehi	\$268,896	\$283,797	5.5%
Orem	\$2,255,822	\$2,490,620	10.4%
Payson	\$272,104	\$220,707	-18.9%
Pleasant Grove	\$295,741	\$318,681	7.8%
Provo	\$1,881,827	\$1,974,588	4.9%
Santaquin	\$37,245	\$43,894	17.9%
Spanish Fork	\$341,390	\$332,042	-2.7%
Springville	\$479,902	\$481,252	0.3%
WASATCH COUNTY	\$193,239	\$199,414	3.2%
WASHINGTON COUNTY	\$1,614,236	\$1,728,984	7.1%
WAYNE COUNTY	\$29,902	\$26,200	-12.4%
WEBER COUNTY	\$4,329,437	\$4,607,504	6.4%
Statewide Totals	\$54,289,017	\$57,041,828	5.1%

## Jurisdictional Maintenance of Effort Comparison

Library Jurisdiction	Certified Tax Rate 1999	Certified Tax Rate 2001	1999 Maintenance Of Effort	2000 Maintenance Of Effort	2001 Maintenance Of Effort	Percent Change 99-01
<b>BEAVER COUNTY</b>						
Beaver County	0.000156	0.000148	\$59,065	\$63,033	\$65,683	11.2%
Beaver	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$25,216	\$28,480	\$31,602	25.3%
Milford	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$7,200	\$21,108	\$22,394	211.0%
Minersville	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$5,534	\$7,448	\$8,204	48.2%
<b>BOX ELDER COUNTY</b>						
Box Elder County	0.000122	0.000176	\$123,665	\$116,737	\$127,195	2.9%
Brigham City	0.000450	0.000590	\$292,147	\$306,841	\$326,996	11.9%
Garland	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$16,338	\$22,848	\$26,391	61.5%
Tremonton	0.000068	0.000068	\$51,046	\$55,771	\$61,074	19.6%
<b>CACHE COUNTY</b>						
Cache County	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$98,993	\$107,174	\$109,355	10.5%
Hyrum	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$76,123	\$78,155	\$74,365	-2.3%
Lewiston	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$31,700	\$40,952	\$39,489	24.6%
Logan	0.000886	0.000830	\$904,305	\$945,353	\$998,637	10.4%
North Logan	0.000603	0.000750	\$150,871	\$345,792	\$217,538	44.2%
Richmond	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$33,457	\$35,830	\$36,276	8.4%
Smithfield	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$60,698	\$70,103	\$82,594	36.1%
<b>CARBON COUNTY</b>						
Carbon County	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$43,417	\$46,662	\$49,603	14.2%
Helper	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$20,430	\$22,517	\$27,300	33.6%
Price	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$253,382	\$282,491	\$304,105	20.0%
<b>DAGGETT COUNTY</b>	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$7,249	\$7,652	\$7,667	5.8%
<b>DAVIS COUNTY</b>						
Davis County	0.000389	0.000431	\$2,487,000	\$2,845,710	\$2,967,576	19.3%
Kaysville	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$215,538	\$246,067	\$269,926	25.2%
<b>DUCHESNE COUNTY</b>	0.000186	0.000171	\$128,061	\$163,238	\$137,672	7.5%
<b>EMERY COUNTY</b>	0.000374	0.000411	\$602,459	\$613,430	\$574,647	-4.6%
<b>GARFIELD CO./PANGUITCH</b>	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$61,223	\$72,270	\$72,108	17.8%
<b>GRAND COUNTY</b>	0.000317	0.000479	\$174,360	\$231,676	\$250,999	44.0%
<b>IRON COUNTY</b>						
Iron County	0.000071	0.000068	\$90,307	\$98,471	\$103,432	14.5%
Cedar City	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$143,557	\$178,408	\$174,668	21.7%
Parowan	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$58,625	\$56,036	\$63,212	7.8%
<b>JUAB COUNTY</b>						
Juab County	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$16,464	\$18,928	\$18,584	12.9%
Nephi	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$58,777	\$61,352	\$63,590	8.2%
<b>KANE COUNTY</b>						
Kane County	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$23,278	\$23,552	\$23,627	1.5%
Kanab	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$72,087	\$77,612	\$88,005	22.1%
<b>MILLARD COUNTY</b>						
Millard County	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$59,935	\$71,977	\$72,078	20.3%
Delta	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$71,887	\$81,093	\$83,551	16.2%
Fillmore	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$48,361	\$76,487	\$85,015	75.8%

## Jurisdictional Maintenance of Effort Comparison

Library Jurisdiction	Certified Tax Rate 1999	Certified Tax Rate 2001	1999 Maintenance Of Effort	2000 Maintenance Of Effort	2001 Maintenance Of Effort	Percent Change 99-01
MORGAN COUNTY	0.000163	0.000153	\$95,116	\$109,078	\$110,717	16.4%
PIUTE COUNTY	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$10,413	\$10,554	\$10,661	2.4%
RICH COUNTY	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$22,841	\$25,195	\$25,016	9.5%
SALT LAKE COUNTY						
Murray	0.000428	0.000388	\$1,031,084	\$1,087,003	\$1,205,107	16.9%
Salt Lake City	0.000829	0.000773	\$7,858,131	\$8,140,466	\$8,564,398	9.0%
Salt Lake County	0.000686	0.000764	\$17,640,744	\$19,222,258	\$19,523,641	10.7%
SAN JUAN COUNTY	0.000443	0.000443	\$181,607	\$203,399	\$196,712	8.3%
SANPETE COUNTY						
Sanpete County	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$39,778	\$47,108	\$59,436	49.4%
Ephraim	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$81,464	\$77,521	\$97,470	19.6%
Gunnison	0.000160	0.000135	\$15,677	\$15,198	\$15,955	1.8%
Manti	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$52,182	\$52,299	\$66,627	27.7%
Mt. Pleasant	0.000382	0.000330	\$65,122	\$69,048	\$84,915	30.4%
SEVIER COUNTY						
Sevier County	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$31,962	\$35,411	\$34,557	8.1%
Monroe	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$16,379	\$24,204	\$21,633	32.1%
Richfield	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$89,878	\$98,493	\$111,043	23.5%
Salina	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$33,071	\$55,818	\$60,800	83.8%
SUMMIT COUNTY						
Summit County	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$216,818	\$350,250	\$411,417	89.8%
Park City	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$465,016	\$463,874	\$486,140	4.5%
TOOELE COUNTY						
Tooele County	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$75,620	\$84,774	\$84,228	11.4%
Tooele	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$250,041	\$304,027	\$376,745	50.7%
UINTAH COUNTY	0.000514	0.000492	\$557,803	\$594,287	\$815,758	46.2%
UTAH COUNTY						
Utah County	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$125,452	\$117,034	\$112,470	-10.3%
American Fork	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$334,902	\$355,690	\$413,638	23.5%
Lehi	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$242,158	\$257,168	\$283,797	17.2%
Orem	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$2,114,009	\$2,225,931	\$2,457,920	16.3%
Payson	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$173,063	\$184,656	\$213,259	23.2%
Pleasant Grove	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$276,278	\$280,988	\$309,847	12.2%
Provo	0.000996	0.000849	\$1,751,193	\$1,860,000	\$1,868,250	6.7%
Santaquin	0.000167	0.000153	\$21,479	\$32,940	\$39,589	84.3%
Spanish Fork	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$248,947	\$307,729	\$293,741	18.0%
Springville	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$452,016	\$456,311	\$471,355	4.3%
WASATCH COUNTY	0.000113	0.000109	\$150,144	\$164,109	\$171,684	14.3%
WASHINGTON COUNTY	0.000295	0.000310	\$1,423,227	\$1,522,605	\$1,519,683	6.8%
WAYNE COUNTY	Gen. Fund	Gen. Fund	\$13,701	\$16,000	\$12,708	-7.2%
WEBER COUNTY	0.000630	0.000559	\$3,885,769	\$4,116,259	\$4,412,651	13.6%
Statewide Totals	***	***	\$46,615,840	\$50,488,939	\$52,608,726	12.9%